

# Globally Stable Periodic Solutions of Linear Neutral Volterra Integrodifferential Equations

JIANHONG WU

*Department of Mathematics, Hunan University,  
Changsha, Hunan, People's Republic of China*

*Submitted by V. Lakshmikantham*

Received August 1, 1986

We shall associate the linear neutral Volterra integrodifferential equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ x(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t C(t-s) x(s) ds - g(t) \right] = Ax(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-s) x(s) ds + f(t) \quad (1)$$

with

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) x(s) ds - g(t) \right] = Ax(t) + \int_0^t G(t-s) x(s) ds + f(t) \quad (2)$$

via the resolvent equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[ Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds \right] &= AZ(t) + \int_0^t G(t-s) Z(s) ds \\ Z(0) &= I. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Here and hereafter,  $C(t)$  and  $G(t)$  are  $n \times n$  matrices continuous for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $g(t)$  and  $f(t)$   $n$ -vectors continuous for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $f(t+T) = f(t)$  and  $g(t+T) = g(t)$  for a constant  $T > 0$ ,  $A$  a constant  $n \times n$  matrix,  $I$  the  $n \times n$  identity matrix, and  $Z$  an  $n \times n$  matrix.

In the case where  $C(t) = 0$  and  $g(t) = 0$ , T. A. Burton [1, Theorem 5] proved that for any bounded solution  $x(t)$  of (2) there exists an integer sequence  $n_j \rightarrow \infty$  (as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ ) such that  $x(t + n_j T)$  converges to a solution  $x^*(t)$  of (1), which, if  $Z \in L^1[0, \infty)$ , is  $T$ -periodic and has the following nice formula,  $x^*(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t Z(t-s) f(s) ds$  (see [2, Theorem 1.1]). Similar results can be found in [3] for the case  $g(t) = 0$  under the assumptions  $Z \in L^1[0, \infty)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = 0$ . However, [3] had not gotten sufficient

conditions to ensure  $Z \in L^1[0, \infty)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = 0$ . In [4], the discussion of the  $T$ -periodic solution of (1) (in the case  $g(t) = 0$ ) depended heavily on the behaviors of solutions of the integral equation  $h(t) = \int_0^t C(t-s) h(s) ds + f(t)$ .

The present paper is an extension of [1-4]. Using the variation of constants formula for (2), we prove that if  $Z, \dot{Z} \in L^1[0, \infty)$ , then there exists a unique globally stable  $T$ -periodic solution  $g(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t \dot{Z}(t-s) g(s) ds + \int_{-\infty}^t Z(t-s) f(s) ds$ . Some sufficient conditions ensuring  $Z, \dot{Z} \in L^1[0, \infty)$  are also given.

The following variation of constants formula generalizes [2, Theorem 1.1] to neutral equations.

**THEOREM 1.** *There exists an  $n \times n$  continuously differentiable matrix  $Z(t)$  satisfying Eq. (3) with initial value  $Z(0) = I$ . Moreover, any solution  $x(t)$  of (2) can be represented by*

$$x(t) = Z(t)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_0^t \dot{Z}(t-s) g(s) ds + \int_0^t Z(t-s) f(s) ds. \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* The existence of the solution  $Z(t)$  of (3) with initial value  $Z(0) = I$  follows from the fundamental theory of neutral functional differential equations with infinite delay (cf. [5, 6]). Equation (3) with initial value  $Z(0) = I$  is equivalent to

$$Z(t) = I + \int_0^t E(t-s) Z(s) ds,$$

where  $E(t) = A + C(t) + \int_0^t G(v) dv$ . It is easy to verify that  $Z(t) = I + \int_0^t M(s) ds$  with  $M(t)$  being the solution of  $M(t) = E(t) + \int_0^t E(t-s) M(s) ds$ , and so  $\dot{Z}(t) = M(t)$  is continuous. On the other hand, Eq. (2) is equivalent to

$$x(t) = x(0) - g(0) + g(t) + \int_0^t f(s) ds + \int_0^t E(t-s) x(s) ds.$$

By a direct verification, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = & x(0) - g(0) + g(t) + \int_0^t f(s) ds + \int_0^t M(t-s) \\ & \times \left\{ [x(0) - g(0)] + g(s) + \int_0^s f(u) du \right\} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= x(0) - g(0) + g(t) + \int_0^t f(s) ds + \int_0^t \dot{Z}(t-s) \\
&\quad \times \left[ x(0) - g(0) + g(s) + \int_0^s f(u) du \right] ds \\
&= Z(t)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_0^t \dot{Z}(t-s) g(s) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^t Z(t-s) f(s) ds
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Following the similar argument to those of [2, Theorem 1.1; 4, Theorem 2; 7, pp. 171–178], we get

**THEOREM 2.** Suppose  $C, G \in L^1[0, \infty)$ . Then for any bounded solution  $x(t)$  of (2), there exists an integer sequence  $n_j \rightarrow \infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  such that  $x(t + n_j T)$  converges to a solution of (1) on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  and the convergence is uniform on any compact subset of  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ .

**THEOREM 3.** If  $C, G, Z, \dot{Z} \in L^1[0, \infty)$ , then (1) has a  $T$ -periodic solution  $g(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t \dot{Z}(t-s) g(s) ds + \int_{-\infty}^t Z(t-s) f(s) ds$ , and all solutions of (1) defined for  $t \geq 0$  with bounded continuous functions on  $(-\infty, 0]$  as their initial values tend to this  $T$ -periodic solution as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Proof.*  $Z, \dot{Z} \in L^1[0, \infty)$  implies that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = 0$ . Therefore by (4) all solutions of (2) are bounded. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
x(t + n_j T) &= Z(t + n_j T)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_0^{t + n_j T} \dot{Z}(t + n_j T - s) g(s) ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t + n_j T} Z(t + n_j T - s) f(s) ds \\
&= Z(t + n_j T)[x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_{-n_j T}^t \dot{Z}(t - s) g(s) ds \\
&\quad + \int_{-n_j T}^t Z(t - s) f(s) ds \\
&\rightarrow g(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t \dot{Z}(t - s) g(s) ds + \int_{-\infty}^t Z(t - s) f(s) ds, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2,  $g(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t \dot{Z}(t-s) g(s) ds + \int_{-\infty}^t Z(t-s) f(s) ds$  is a solution of (1). Obviously, it is  $T$ -periodic.

Suppose  $x(t)$  is a solution of (1) defined for  $t \geq 0$  with initial value

$x_0 = \varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is a continuous bounded  $R^n$ -valued function defined on  $(-\infty, 0]$ . Then from

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left[ x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) x(s) ds - g(t) - \int_{-\infty}^0 C(t-s) \varphi(s) ds \right] \\ &= Ax(t) + \int_0^t G(t-s) x(s) ds + \int_{-\infty}^0 G(t-s) \varphi(s) ds + f(t) \end{aligned}$$

and by Theorem 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= Z(t) \left[ x(0) - \int_{-\infty}^0 C(-s) \varphi(s) ds - g(0) \right] + g(t) \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^0 C(t-s) \varphi(s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \dot{Z}(t-s) \left[ g(s) + \int_{-\infty}^0 C(s-u) \varphi(u) du \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t Z(t-s) \left[ f(s) + \int_{-\infty}^0 G(s-u) \varphi(u) du \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

The boundedness of  $\varphi$  and  $C \in L^1[0, \infty)$  imply  $\int_{-\infty}^0 C(-s) \varphi(s) ds$  is a bounded real number,  $\int_{-\infty}^0 C(t-s) \varphi(s) ds = \int_t^{+\infty} C(u) \varphi(t-u) du \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\int_{-\infty}^t G(t-s) \varphi(s) ds = \int_t^{+\infty} G(u) \varphi(t-u) du \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . These show

$$\int_0^t \dot{Z}(t-s) \int_{-\infty}^0 C(s-u) \varphi(u) du ds \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\int_0^t Z(t-s) \int_{-\infty}^0 G(s-u) \varphi(u) du ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \dot{Z}(t-s) g(s) ds \leq \int_t^{+\infty} |\dot{Z}(v)| dv \cdot \max_{0 \leq s \leq T} |g(s)| \rightarrow 0$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 Z(t-s) f(s) ds \leq \int_t^{+\infty} |Z(v)| dv \cdot \max_{0 \leq s \leq T} |f(s)| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,

$$x(t) - g(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t \dot{Z}(t-s) g(s) ds - \int_{-\infty}^t Z(t-s) f(s) ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

So the key to prove the existence of a unique globally stable  $T$ -periodic solution for (1) is to verify  $Z$  and  $\dot{Z} \in L^1[0, \infty)$ . In the remainder of this paper, we shall give some sufficient conditions ensuring them.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $\int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt < 1$ , then  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds] = 0$  implies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The continuity of  $Z(t)$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds] = 0$  imply the existence of a constant  $N > 1$  such that  $|Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds| \leq N$ . If there is a  $u \geq 0$  with  $|Z(u)| = \max_{0 \leq s \leq u} |Z(s)|$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |Z(u)| &\leq \int_0^u |C(u-s)| |Z(s)| ds + N \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} |C(s)| ds |Z(u)| + N \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$|Z(u)| \leq N / \left[ 1 - \int_0^{+\infty} |C(s)| ds \right] = M.$$

This shows that  $|Z(t)| \leq M$  for  $t \geq 0$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  choose  $h$  sufficiently large so that

$$|D(t)| + \int_h^{+\infty} |C(s)| ds M < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \geq h,$$

where  $D(t) = Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds$ . Therefore for  $t \geq h$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Z(t)| &\leq \int_{t-h}^t |C(t-s)| |Z(s)| ds + \int_0^{t-h} |C(t-s)| ds M + |D(t)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt \cdot \max_{t-h \leq s \leq t} |Z(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $t_n \in I_n = [nh, (n+1)h]$  so that  $|Z(t_n)| = \max_{t \in I_n} |Z(t)|$ , then

$$|Z(t_n)| \leq \begin{cases} \varepsilon + \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt |Z(t_{n-1})|, & \text{if there exists } u \in [t_n - h, nh] \\ & \text{with } |Z(u)| = \max_{t \in [t_n - h, t_n]} |Z(t)| \\ \varepsilon + \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt |Z(t_n)|, & \text{if } \max_{s \in [t_n - h, t_n]} |Z(s)| \\ & = \max_{s \in [nh, t_n]} |Z(s)|. \end{cases}$$

Therefore if  $|Z(t_{n-1})| \leq \varepsilon/[1 - \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt]$ , then  $|Z(t_n)| \leq \varepsilon/[1 - \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt]$ , and thus  $|Z(t_k)| \leq \varepsilon/[1 - \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt]$  for all  $k \geq n-1$ , and if  $|Z(t_n)| > \varepsilon/[1 - \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt]$ , then  $|Z(t_n)| \leq \varepsilon + \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt |Z(t_{n-1})|$ . This implies that either there exists a positive integer  $K$  such that  $|Z(t)| \leq \varepsilon/[1 - \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt]$  for  $t \geq Kh$  or  $|Z(t_n)| \leq \varepsilon + \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt |Z(t_{n-1})|$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . If the latter case occurs, then

$$\begin{aligned} |Z(t_n)| &\leq \varepsilon \left[ 1 + \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt + \dots + \left( \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt \right)^n \right] \\ &\quad + \left( \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt \right)^{n+1} |Z(t_0)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left[ 1 - \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt \right] + \left( \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt \right)^{n+1} M. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore these two cases imply  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = 0$ , since  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 2. If  $\int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt < 1$  and  $G \in L^1[0, \infty)$  then  $Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds \in L^1[0, \infty)$  implies  $Z, \dot{Z} \in L^1[0, \infty)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D(t) = Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds$ . Then  $Z(t)$  is a fixed point of the mapping  $T$  defined by  $(TZ)(t) = \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds + D(t)$  for  $Z \in L^1[0, \infty)$ . It is easy to verify that  $T$  maps  $L^1[0, \infty)$  into itself with

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{+\infty} |(TZ - T\tilde{Z})(t)| dt \\ &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^t |C(t-s)| |Z(s) - \tilde{Z}(s)| ds dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt \int_0^{+\infty} |Z(t) - \tilde{Z}(t)| dt \quad \text{for } Z, \tilde{Z} \in L^1[0, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $T$  is a contraction mapping from  $L^1[0, \infty)$  into itself. Therefore  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $L^1[0, \infty)$ , that is,  $Z \in L^1[0, \infty)$ .  $Z$  is continuously differentiable, so (3) is equivalent to

$$Z'(t) = \int_0^t C(s) Z'(t-s) ds + C(t) + AZ(t) + \int_0^t G(t-s) Z(s) ds.$$

Using the same argument as above we get  $Z' \in L^1[0, \infty)$ . This completes the proof.

For the case where (3) is a scalar equation, we have

THEOREM 4. Suppose that  $\int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt < 1$ ,

$$\frac{\int_0^{+\infty} |AC(t) + G(t)| dt}{1 - \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt} + A = \alpha < 0.$$

Then  $Z, \dot{Z}, D \in L^1[0, \infty)$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} D(t) = 0$ , where  $D(t) = Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$F(t) = |AC(t) + G(t)| \\ + \left( \int_0^{+\infty} |AC(t) + G(t)| dt \right) / \left( 1 - \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt \right) |C(t)|$$

and

$$V(t, Z_t) = \left| Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds \right| + \int_0^t \int_t^{+\infty} F(u-s) du |x(s)| ds.$$

Rewriting (3) as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds \right] \\ = A \left[ Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds \right] + \int_0^t [AC(t-s) + G(t-s)] Z(s) ds,$$

we get

$$\dot{V}(t, Z_t) \leq A \left| Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds \right| \\ + \int_0^t |AC(t-s) + G(t-s)| |Z(s)| ds \\ + \int_t^{+\infty} F(u-t) du |Z(t)| - \int_0^t F(t-s) |Z(s)| ds \\ \leq A \left| Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds \right| \\ + \int_0^t |AC(t-s) + G(t-s)| |Z(s)| ds \\ + \int_0^{+\infty} F(u) du \left| Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_0^{+\infty} F(u) du \int_0^t |C(t-s)| |Z(s)| ds - \int_0^t F(t-s) |Z(s)| ds \\
 & \leq \left[ \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} |AC(t) + G(t)| dt}{1 - \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt} + A \right] \left| Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$0 \leq V(t, Z_t) \leq V(0, Z_0) - \alpha \int_0^t |D(s)| ds,$$

which implies  $\int_0^{+\infty} |D(s)| ds \leq (1/\alpha) V(0, Z_0)$ . That is,  $D \in L^1[0, \infty)$ , and thus  $Z, Z' \in L^1[0, \infty)$  by Lemma 2, which implies  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} D(t) = 0$ . This completes the proof.

For the general  $n$ -dimensional equation (3), we have

**THEOREM 5.** Suppose that  $A$  is a stable matrix,  $B$  is a positive definite  $n \times n$  matrix with  $A^T B + BA = -I$ , and  $\alpha, \beta$  are positive constants with  $\alpha^2 x^T x \leq x^T B x \leq \beta^2 x^T x$ . If  $\int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt < 1$ ,

$$\frac{\int_0^{+\infty} |BAC(t) + BG(t)| dt}{\alpha[1 - \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt]} < \frac{1}{2\beta},$$

then  $Z, \dot{Z}, D \in L^1[0, \infty)$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} D(t) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Z(t) = 0$ , where  $D(t) = Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) Z(s) ds$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$K(t) = \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} |BAC(t) + BG(t)| dt}{\alpha[1 - \int_0^{+\infty} |C(t)| dt]} |C(t)| + \frac{1}{\alpha} |BAC(t) + BG(t)|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(t, x_t) &= \left\{ \left[ x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) x(s) ds \right]^T B \left[ x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) x(s) ds \right] \right\}^{1/2} \\
 &+ \int_0^t \int_t^\infty K(u-s) du |x(s)| ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $x(t)$  is a solution of

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s) x(s) ds \right] = Ax(t) + \int_0^t G(t-s) x(s) ds.$$



Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & V(t, x_t) \\
 &= \frac{[Ax(t) + \int_0^t G(t-s)x(s)ds]^T B[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds]}{2\{[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds]^T B[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds]\}^{1/2}} \\
 &+ \frac{[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds]^T B[Ax(t) + \int_0^t G(t-s)x(s)ds]}{2\{[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds]^T B[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds]\}^{1/2}} \\
 &+ \left| \int_t^{+\infty} K(u-t)du \left| x(t) \right| - \int_0^t K(t-s)|x(s)|ds \right| \\
 &= \frac{-[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds]^T [x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds]}{2\{[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds]^T B[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds]\}^{1/2}} \\
 &+ \frac{[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds]^T B \int_0^t [AC(t-s) + G(t-s)]x(s)ds}{\{[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds]^T B[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds]\}^{1/2}} \\
 &+ \left| \int_0^{+\infty} K(u)du \left| x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds \right| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_0^{+\infty} K(u)du \int_0^t |C(t-s)||x(s)|ds - \int_0^{+\infty} K(t-s)|x(s)|ds \right. \\
 &\leq - \left[ \frac{1}{2\beta} - \int_0^{+\infty} K(u)du \right] \left| x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds \right| \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^t |BAC(t-s) + BG(t-s)||x(s)|ds \\
 &\quad + \left| \int_0^{+\infty} K(u)du \int_0^t |C(t-s)||x(s)|ds - \int_0^t K(t-s)|x(s)|ds \right| \\
 &= - \left[ \frac{1}{2\beta} - \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} |BAC(t) + BG(t)|dt}{\alpha[1 - \int_0^\infty |C(t)|dt]} \right] \left| x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

The remainder of the proof is the same as that of Theorem 4 and so we leave it to the readers.

*Remark.* Making a change of variable  $x(t) = y(t) + k(t)$ , where  $k(t)$  is the unique  $T$ -periodic solution of the following integral equation

$$k(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t C(t-s)k(s)ds + g(t). \quad (5)$$

Equation (1) is equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ y(t) - \int_{-\infty}^t C(t-s)y(s)ds \right] = Ay(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-s)y(s)ds + f^*(t) \quad (6)$$

with

$$f^*(t) = f(t) + Ak(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t G(t-s)k(s)ds. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3, (1) has a  $T$ -periodic solution

$$k(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t Z(t-s)f^*(s)ds$$

provided that  $C, G, Z, \dot{Z} \in L^1[0, \infty)$ .

# REFERENCES

1. T. A. BURTON, Periodic solution of linear Volterra equations, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **27** (1984), 229–253.
2. T. A. BURTON, Lecture Notes on Periodic Solutions of Volterra Equations, Mathematics 5951, Spring 1984.
3. Z.-C. WANG, Periodic solution of linear neutral integrodifferential equations, *Tohoku Math. J.* **38** (1986), 71–83.
4. Z.-C. WANG, J.-H. WU, AND Z.-X. LI, The variation of constants formula and periodicity for linear neutral integrodifferential equations, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **29**, 2 (1986).
5. J.-H. WU, The local theory for neutral functional differential equations with infinite delay, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica* **8** (1985), 427–481.
6. Z.-C. WANG AND J.-H. WU, Neutral functional differential equations with infinite delay, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **28** (1985), 157–170.
7. R. K. MILLER, "Nonlinear Volterra Integral Equations," Benjamin, New York, 1971.